



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

May 11, 2004

Ms. Melissa L. Barloco
Assistant County Attorney
Harris County
1019 Congress, 15th Floor
Houston, Texas 77002

OR2004-3837

Dear Ms. Barloco:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 201287.

The Harris County Constable, Precinct 6, and the Harris County Constable, Precinct 8 (collectively, the "constable") received a request for information concerning four named deputies. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.117, 552.119, and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Section 552.101 encompasses confidentiality provisions such as Family Code section 58.007. Juvenile law enforcement records relating to conduct that occurred on or after September 1, 1997 are confidential under section 58.007. The relevant language of section 58.007(c) reads as follows:

(c) Except as provided by Subsection (d), law enforcement records and files concerning a child and information stored, by electronic means or otherwise, concerning the child from which a record or file could be generated may not be disclosed to the public and shall be:

- (1) if maintained on paper or microfilm, kept separate from adult files and records;
- (2) if maintained electronically in the same computer system as records or files relating to adults, be accessible under controls that are separate and distinct from controls to access electronic data concerning adults; and
- (3) maintained on a local basis only and not sent to a central state or federal depository, except as provided by Subchapter B.

We note, however, that section 58.007 does not make information relating to traffic offenses confidential. See Fam. Code §§ 51.02(16) (definition of traffic offense), 51.03(a) (delinquent conduct does not include traffic offense), 51.03(b) (conduct indicating need for supervision does not include traffic offense), 58.007(b) (section applies to records and files relating to child who is party to proceeding under Title 3 of Family Code). As the portion of the submitted information you seek to withhold under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 58.007 only involves a traffic offense, we conclude that section 58.007 does not prohibit the constable from releasing this information. Accordingly, none of the submitted information is excepted under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 58.007 of the Family Code.

The submitted information contains declarations of psychological and emotional health and drug dependency reports that are required by the Texas Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education (the "commission") and that are confidential pursuant to Section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code. Section 1701.306 provides as follows:

- (a) The commission may not issue a license to a person as an officer or county jailer unless the person is examined by:
 - (1) a licensed psychologist or by a psychiatrist who declares in writing that the person is in satisfactory psychological and emotional health to serve as the type of officer for which a license is sought; and
 - (2) a licensed physician who declares in writing that the person does not show any trace of drug dependency or illegal drug use after a physical examination, blood test, or other medical test.
- (b) An agency hiring a person for whom a license as an officer or county jailer is sought shall select the examining physician and the examining psychologist or psychiatrist. The agency shall prepare a report of each declaration required by Subsection (a) and shall maintain a copy of the report

on file in a format readily accessible to the commission. *A declaration is not public information.*

Occ. Code § 1701.306(a)-(b) (emphasis added). We have marked the information that the constable must withhold under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code.

The submitted information also includes F-5 Reports of Resignation or Separation of License Holder addressed to the commission. Section 1701.452 of the Occupations Code requires that a law enforcement agency submit a report to the commission regarding a licensed peace officer who resigns from employment with the law enforcement agency. *See* Occ. Code § 1701.452. Section 1701.454 provides in relevant part:

(a) A report or statement submitted to the commission under this subchapter is confidential and is not subject to disclosure under Chapter 552 of the Government Code.

Occ. Code § 1701.454. We determine that the constable must withhold the submitted F-5 forms which we have marked pursuant to section 552.101 in conjunction with section 1701.454 of the Occupations Code.

Section 611.002 of the Health and Safety Code encompasses information created or maintained by a mental health professional and provides in part:

(a) Communications between a patient and a professional, and records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient that are created or maintained by a professional, are confidential.

(b) Confidential communications or records may not be disclosed except as provided by Section 611.004 or 611.0045.

Health & Safety Code § 611.002(a)-(b). Section 611.001 defines a "professional" as (1) a person authorized to practice medicine, (2) a person licensed or certified by the state to diagnose, evaluate or treat mental or emotional conditions or disorders, or (3) a person the patient reasonably believes is authorized, licensed, or certified. *See id.* § 611.001(2). Sections 611.004 and 611.0045 provide for access to mental health records only by certain individuals. *See* Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). We have marked the submitted information that is confidential under section 611.002 of the Health and Safety Code. There is no indication that the requestor has a right of access to this information under sections 611.004 and 611.0045. Therefore, the constable also must withhold the information that is encompassed by section 611.002 under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 also incorporates sections 560.001, 560.002, and 560.003 of the Government Code. These sections govern the public availability of fingerprint information and provide as follows:

Sec. 560.001. DEFINITIONS. In this chapter:

(1) "Biometric identifier" means a retina or iris scan, fingerprint, voiceprint, or record of hand or face geometry.

(2) "Governmental body" has the meaning assigned by Section 552.003 [of the Government Code], except that the term includes each entity within or created by the judicial branch of state government.

Sec. 560.002. DISCLOSURE OF BIOMETRIC IDENTIFIER. A governmental body that possesses a biometric identifier of an individual:

(1) may not sell, lease, or otherwise disclose the biometric identifier to another person unless:

(A) the individual consents to the disclosure;

(B) the disclosure is required or permitted by a federal statute or by a state statute other than Chapter 552 [of the Government Code]; or

(C) the disclosure is made by or to a law enforcement agency for a law enforcement purpose; and

(2) shall store, transmit, and protect from disclosure the biometric identifier using reasonable care and in a manner that is the same as or more protective than the manner in which the governmental body stores, transmits, and protects its other confidential information.

Sec. 560.003. APPLICATION OF CHAPTER 552. A biometric identifier in the possession of a governmental body is exempt from disclosure under Chapter 552.

Gov't Code §§ 560.001, 560.002, 560.003.¹ We have marked the submitted information that is confidential under section 560.003. There is no indication that the requestor has a right of access to this information under section 560.002. Therefore, the constable must withhold the marked fingerprint information under sections 552.101 and 560.003 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 also incorporates the Medical Practice Act (the "MPA"), subtitle B of title 3 of the Occupations Code. *See* Occ. Code § 151.001 *et seq.* The MPA governs the disclosure of medical records. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides in part:

(a) A communication between a physician and a patient, relative to or in connection with any professional services as a physician to the patient, is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Id. § 159.002(a)-(c). This office has determined that in governing access to a specific subset of information, the MPA prevails over the more general provisions of chapter 552 of the Government Code. *See* Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991). Medical records must be released upon the patient's signed, written consent, provided that the consent specifies (1) the information to be covered by the release, (2) reasons or purposes for the release, and (3) the person to whom the information is to be released. *See* Occ. Code §§ 159.004, .005. Any subsequent release of medical records must be consistent with the purposes for which the governmental body obtained the records. *See id.* § 159.002(c); Open Records Decision No. 565 at 7 (1990). We have marked the submitted information that constitutes medical records. The constable must not release that information unless it has authority under the MPA to do so. *See* Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991).

¹These sections, formerly found at chapter 559 of the Government Code as sections 559.001, 559.002, and 559.003, were renumbered by the Regular Session of the Seventy-eighth Legislature, effective September 1, 2003. *See* Act of May 20, 2003, 78th Leg., R.S., ch. 1275, § 2 (78), 2003 Tex. Sess. Law Serv. 4140, 4144.

The submitted documents contain a Form I-9. Section 1324a of title 8 of the United States Code provides that an Employment Eligibility Verification Form I-9 “may not be used for purposes other than for enforcement of this chapter” and for enforcement of other federal statutes governing crime and criminal investigations. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1324a(b)(5); *see also* 8 C.F.R. § 274a.2(b)(4). The release of the submitted Form I-9 in response to this request for information would be “for purposes other than for enforcement” of the referenced federal statutes. A Form I-9 may be released only for purposes of compliance with the federal laws and regulations governing the employment verification system. Therefore, the constable must withhold the submitted Form I-9 under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1324a of title 8 of the United States Code.

You also argue that the submitted W-4 Form is excepted under section 552.101 of the Government Code. Title 26 section 6103(a) of the United States Code renders tax return information confidential. This term has been interpreted by federal courts to include any information gathered by the Internal Revenue Service regarding a taxpayer’s liability under title 26 of the United States Code. *Mallas v. Kolak*, 721 F. Supp 748 (M.D.N.C. 1989). Because the W-4 Form constitutes tax return information, the constable must withhold this information under section 552.101 in conjunction with federal law.

Criminal history record information (“CHRI”) obtained from the National Crime Information Center (“NCIC”) or the Texas Crime Information Center is confidential under federal and state law. Federal law governs the dissemination of CHRI obtained from the NCIC network. Federal regulations prohibit the release to the general public of CHRI that is maintained in state and local CHRI systems. *See* 28 C.F.R. § 20.21(c)(1) (“Use of criminal history record information disseminated to noncriminal justice agencies shall be limited to the purpose for which it was given”) and (c)(2) (“No agency or individual shall confirm the existence or nonexistence of criminal history record information to any person or agency that would not be eligible to receive the information itself”); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 565 at 10-12 (1990). The federal regulations allow each state to follow its own individual law with respect to CHRI that it generates. *See id.* at 10-12.

Sections 411.083(b)(1) and 411.089(a) of the Government Code authorize a criminal justice agency to obtain CHRI; however, a criminal justice agency may not release CHRI except to another criminal justice agency for a criminal justice purpose. *See* Gov’t Code § 411.089(b).² Thus, CHRI generated by the federal government or another state may be disclosed only in accordance with the federal regulations. Likewise, CHRI held by the Texas Department of Public Safety (the “DPS”) or another criminal justice agency must be withheld from the public as provided by subchapter F of chapter 411 of the Government

²We note that the statutory definition of CHRI does not encompass driving record information maintained by the DPS under subchapter C of chapter 521 of the Transportation Code. *See* Gov’t Code § 411.082(2) (defining “criminal history record information”).

Code. Furthermore, when a law enforcement agency compiles information that identifies a particular individual as a criminal suspect, arrested person, or defendant, the compilation of criminal history information takes on a character that implicates the individual's common-law privacy interests in a manner that the same information in an uncompiled state does not. *See U.S. Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press*, 489 U.S. 749 (1989); Open Records Decision No. 616 at 2-3 (1993). Therefore, to the extent that the submitted documents contain any CHRI that is confidential under federal law or subchapter F of chapter 411 of the Government Code, or a compilation of criminal history information that is private under *Reporters Committee*, the constable must withhold any such information under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the common-law right to privacy. Information must be withheld from the public under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy when the information is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a person of ordinary sensibilities, and (2) of no legitimate public interest. *See Indus. Found. v. Tex. Ind. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The common-law right to privacy encompasses the specific types of information that the Texas Supreme Court held to be intimate or embarrassing in *Industrial Foundation*. *See* 540 S.W.2d at 683 (information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs). This office has since concluded that other types of information also are private under section 552.101. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 659 at 4-5 (1999) (summarizing information attorney general has determined to be private), 470 at 4 (1987) (illness from severe emotional job-related stress), 455 at 9 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps), 343 at 1-2 (1982) (references in emergency medical records to drug overdose, acute alcohol intoxication, obstetrical/gynecological illness, convulsions/seizures, or emotional/mental distress).

Common-law privacy under section 552.101 also encompasses certain types of personal financial information. This office has determined that financial information relating only to an individual ordinarily satisfies the first element of the common-law privacy test, but the public has a legitimate interest in the essential facts about a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 at 9-12 (1992) (identifying public and private portions of state employees' personnel records), 545 at 4 (1990) ("In general, we have found the kinds of financial information not excepted from public disclosure by common-law privacy to be those regarding the receipt of governmental funds or debts owed to governmental entities"), 523 at 4 (1989) (noting distinction under common-law privacy between confidential background financial information furnished to public body about individual and basic facts regarding particular financial transaction between individual and public body), 373 at 4 (1983) (determination of whether public's interest in obtaining personal financial information is sufficient to justify its disclosure must

be made on case-by-case basis). Thus, a public employee's allocation of part of the employee's salary to a voluntary investment program offered by the employer is a personal investment decision, and information about that decision is protected by common-law privacy. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 600 at 9-12 (1992) (participation in TexFlex), 545 at 3-5 (1990) (deferred compensation plan). Likewise, an employee's designation of a retirement beneficiary is excepted from disclosure under the common-law right to privacy. *See* Open Records Decision No. 600 at 9 (1992). However, where a transaction is funded in part by a governmental body, it involves the employee in a transaction with the governmental body, and the basic facts about that transaction are not protected by common-law privacy. *Id.* at 9.

You assert that the submitted documents contain personal financial information that is protected by common-law privacy. After reviewing the documents, we have marked the information the constable must withhold under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Next, you assert that some of the submitted information is excepted under section 552.117 of the Government Code. Section 552.117(a)(1) excepts from disclosure the home addresses and telephone numbers, personal pager and cellular telephone numbers, social security numbers, and family member information of current or former officials or employees of a governmental body who request that this information be kept confidential under section 552.024 of the Government Code. Section 552.117(a)(2) excepts the same information regarding a peace officer regardless of whether the officer made an election under section 552.024.³ Thus, pursuant to section 552.117(a)(2), the constable must withhold the present and former home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, and family member information of any individual who is a licensed peace officer. Pursuant to section 552.117(a)(1), the constable must withhold the same information for any employee or official who was not a licensed peace officer at the time this request was received but who elected, prior to the receipt of this request, to keep such information confidential. We have marked the types of information that may be confidential under section 552.117.⁴

³"Peace Officer" is defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

⁴We note, however, that a post office box number is not a "home address" for purposes of section 552.117 and therefore may not be withheld under this exception. *See* Gov't Code § 552.117; Open Records Decision No. 622 at 4 (1994) (legislative history makes clear that purpose of section 552.117 is to protect public employees from being harassed at home) (citing House Committee on State Affairs, Bill Analysis, H.B. 1976, 69th Leg. (1985); Senate Committee on State Affairs, Bill Analysis, H.B. 1976, 69th Leg. (1985)); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 658 at 4 (1998) (statutory confidentiality provision must be express and cannot be implied), 478 at 2 (1987) (language of confidentiality statute controls scope of protection), 465 at 4-5 (1987) (statute explicitly required confidentiality).

We note that social security numbers that are not otherwise excepted from disclosure under section 552.117 might nevertheless be excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with the 1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I). *See* Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). These amendments make confidential social security numbers and related records that are obtained and maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See id.* We have no basis for concluding that the social security numbers at issue are confidential under section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I), and therefore excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 on the basis of that federal provision. We caution, however, that section 552.352 of the Act imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. Prior to releasing any social security number, the constable should ensure that it did not obtain or maintain the social security number pursuant to any provision of law, enacted on or after October 1, 1990.

We also note that the submitted documents contain the home addresses and phone numbers of peace officers not employed by the constable. This information may be protected from disclosure under section 552.1175 of the Government Code. Section 552.1175 states in pertinent part:

(a) This section applies only to:

(1) peace officers as defined by Article 2.12, Code of Criminal Procedure;

....

(b) Information that relates to the home address, home telephone number, or social security number of an individual to whom this section applies, or that reveals whether the individual has family members is confidential and may not be disclosed to the public under this chapter if the individual to whom the information relates:

(1) chooses to restrict public access to the information; and

(2) notifies the governmental body of the individual's choice on a form provided by the governmental body, accompanied by evidence of the individual's status.

However, you do not inform this office, nor does any of the submitted information indicate, whether the individuals whose information is at issue are currently licensed peace officers who have notified the constable of his or her election of confidentiality for this information in accordance with the above-cited subsections 552.1175(b)(1) and (2). *See, e.g.,* Open

Records Decision No. 678 (2003) (concluding that county voter registrar was authorized to release voter information made confidential under section 552.1175 of Government Code to another governmental entity, but that transferred information would not be confidential in possession of transferee until recipient governmental entity receives a section 552.1175 notification). If the individuals are currently licensed peace officers who comply with section 552.1175(b), the constable must withhold the information we have marked. If not, the constable must release this information.

You assert that the submitted photographs of peace officers are excepted under section 552.119 of the Government Code. Section 552.119 excepts from public disclosure a photograph of a peace officer, that, if released, would endanger the life or physical safety of the officer unless one of three exceptions applies. The three exceptions are: (1) the officer is under indictment or charged with an offense by information; (2) the officer is a party in a fire or police civil service hearing or a case in arbitration; or (3) the photograph is introduced as evidence in a judicial proceeding. This section also provides that a photograph exempt from disclosure under this section may be made public only if the peace officer gives written consent to the disclosure. After reviewing your arguments, we find, however, that you have not demonstrated, nor is it apparent from our review of the submitted information, that release of the photographs would endanger the life or physical safety of the officers depicted. We therefore determine that the constable may not withhold any photograph of a peace officer pursuant to section 552.119 of the Government Code.

Next, section 552.130 of the Government Code prohibits the release of information that relates to a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state or a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state. *See Gov't Code* § 552.130. Accordingly, the constable must withhold the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.130 of the Government Code.

Finally, we note that the submitted information contains account number information. Section 552.136 of the Government Code states that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." *Gov't Code* § 552.136. The constable must, therefore, withhold the account number information we have marked under section 552.136.

In summary, the constable must withhold the following information under section 552.101 of the Government Code: (1) the declarations of psychological and emotional health and drug dependency reports we have marked in conjunction with section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code, (2) the F-5 Reports of Resignation or Separation of License Holder we have marked in conjunction with section 1701.454 of the Occupations Code, (3) the information we have marked pursuant to section 611.002 of the Health and Safety Code, (4) the fingerprint information we have marked in conjunction with section 560.003 of the

Government Code, (5) the medical records we have marked, unless the constable has the authority to release such records pursuant to the MPA, (6) the submitted Form I-9 in conjunction with section 1324a of title 8 of the United States Code, (7) the submitted W-4 form in conjunction with title 26 section 6103(a) of the United States Code, (8) any CHRI that is confidential under federal law or subchapter F of chapter 411 of the Government Code, (9) and the information we have marked in conjunction with common-law privacy. The constable may be required to withhold the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.117(a)(2), if the individual to whom the information pertains is a licensed peace officer. If the individual is not a licensed peace officer, the constable may still be required to withhold this information pursuant to section 552.117(a)(1). If section 552.117 does not apply, social security numbers may still be confidential under federal law. Information pertaining to peace officers not employed by the constable may be excepted under section 552.1175. Finally, the constable must withhold the information we have marked under sections 552.130 and 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Sarah I. Swanson
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

SIS/krl

Ref: ID# 201287

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Roma Khanna
Houston Chronicle
P. O. Box 4260
Houston, Texas 77210
(w/o enclosures)